



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,411	06/25/2003	Tae-Soon Kwon	03-445	5668
34704	7590	01/04/2005	EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			BEHREND, HARVEY E	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3641		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/606,411	KWON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harvey E. Behrend	3641

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/27/04

2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 9/27/04 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:

1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_

5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3641

1. The amendment filed 9/27/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The amendments to page 11, and, the paragraph bridging pages 19 and 20.

There is no proper support in the original disclosure for these amendments because the original disclosure referred to two, separate sections, and, their relative length ratio when sectioned on the basis of a central axis of the cold leg.

There is no definition in the original disclosure of what all is meant by and is encompassed by the phrase “sectioned on the basis of the cold leg”.

There is thus no proper basis for deleting the original reference in the specification to the terms “upper section” and “lower section” and instead, define the overall length of each corrugation and the positioning of the corrugation with respect to the cold leg, as now set forth in the above referenced amendments to the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 9/27/04 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121 (a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of the overall length of each corrugation and the positioning of the corrugation with respect to the cold leg, as shown in the proposed drawing changes.

3. Accordingly, claims 1-6 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, for the reasons set forth in section 5 of the 7/27/04 Office action.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are vague, indefinite and incomplete as to what all is meant by and is encompassed by the phrase "direct vessel injection-type pressurized light water reactor". This is especially so since the original reference in the claims to direct injection of emergency core cooling water has been cancelled from the claims.

Claim 1 is vague, indefinite and incomplete as to the relationship of the "reactor vessel" to the "pressure vessel" (they appear from the specification to be the same vessel). The term reactor vessel has a well known meaning in this art and it does not mean the combination of the vessel and the core barrel (see for example in this respect, col. 4 of Creagan (U.S. 3,211,621) and col. 2 of Schiwirian et al (U.S. 5,553,107)).

Claim 1 is hence vague, indefinite and incomplete in referring to the "core barrel" as being a component of the reactor vessel.

Instead, the "core barrel" is actually a separate component which is placed inside the reactor vessel.

There is consequently, no proper antecedent basis for the terms "pressure vessel" and "core barrel" in claim 1.

There is no proper antecedent basis in claim 6 for the terms "cold leg", "pressure vessel", "core barrel".

Note that claim 6 does not recite the presence of a "cold leg" and hence it has no proper antecedent basis.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no proper support in the original disclosure for the subject matter in the last three lines of claim 6.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3641

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harvey Behrend whose telephone number is (703) 305-1831. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.



HARVEY E. BEHREND  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Behrend/vs  
December 13, 2004